

Our Frogmore & Sherford Community

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

2018 Community Questionnaire Response Summary - Sherford



1 A DRAFT VISION

The questionnaire is about key issues and different options to address them. We begin, however, with a suggested draft 'Vision' statement:

'We would like Frogmore & Sherford to grow as a sustainable community meeting the needs of local people, to be an ever better place to live and work, retaining the historic character of the two villages, its rural setting and the community spirit. We want to achieve improvements in local infrastructure and enhanced facilities. Our ambition is also to protect and celebrate the rich landscapes and waterscapes within our parish.'

1.0	DRAFT VISION	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
1.01	My response to the draft vision (above)	1	1	1	26	23	4%	2%	94%

2 PARISH LIFE

Our community

2.0	COMMUNITY	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
2.01	We have a friendly, active community	1	0	8	22	22	2%	15%	83%
2.02	More village events should be encouraged	2	3	18	27	3	9%	34%	57%
2.03	Parish community buildings should continue to be improved	0	1	9	29	14	2%	17%	81%
2.04	More amenities are needed for young people	0	3	19	19	12	6%	36%	58%
2.05	We need more community amenity open spaces	1	11	18	16	7	23%	34%	43%

The built environment

2.2	BUILT ENVIRONMENT	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.21	We need to safeguard our villages' heritage	1	0	2	16	33
2.22	We should retain the rural character of the parish	1	0	1	16	34
2.23	We need to retain/protect our beautiful countryside	1	0	2	11	38
2.24	Development should be restricted in designated areas of outstanding beauty	1	2	3	12	34

Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
2%	4%	94%
2%	2%	96%
2%	4%	94%
6%	6%	88%

Other community considerations.

2.4	COMMUNITY CONSIDERATIONS	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
2.41	We need more footpath/cycle/bridle ways	0	6	12	16	18
2.42	Improved broadband services are needed	0	0	3	13	36
2.43	We need to plan for more businesses and employment opportunities	0	10	18	16	8
2.44	We have traffic safety problems on our roads	0	3	11	20	17
2.45	Public access to Frogmore Creek should be improved	0	3	15	22	11
2.46	Improved access to health care facilities is needed	3	5	22	15	6
2.47	More local shops and services are needed	2	13	22	10	4
2.48	Better visitor parking provision is required	4	12	16	16	3

Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
12%	23%	65%
0%	6%	94%
19%	35%	46%
6%	22%	72%
6%	29%	65%
16%	43%	41%
29%	43%	28%
31%	32%	37%

3 NEW HOUSING

3.0	HOUSING	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
3.01	There is a need for more housing in the parish?	5	20	12	17	1

Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
45%	22%	33%

Type of housing neede

3.1	HOUSING contd.	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
3.11	New homes should be restricted to satisfying local need	2	6	3	20	18
3.12	Priority should be given to 'affordable' tenure homes	2	6	8	15	18
3.13	New homes should be sited within existing village boundaries	1	6	8	21	13
3.14	New homes may be sited anywhere suitable within the parish	13	13	7	14	2
3.15	Areas of outstanding natural beauty are not suitable new homes locations	0	4	7	13	26
3.16	The development of second or holiday homes should be restricted	0	6	2	11	30
3.17	Sheltered housing accommodation is needed within the parish	2	5	20	15	6

Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
16%	6%	78%
16%	17%	67%
14%	16%	70%
53%	14%	33%
8%	14%	78%
12%	4%	84%
14%	42%	44%

Large, medium or small development?

3.2	HOUSING DEVELOPMENT SIZE	Agree	% total
3.21	Development of single homes only	13	25%
3.22	Developments typical of 1-3 homes	17	33%
3.23	Developments typical of 4-9 homes	12	23%

3.24	Developments typical of 10-15 homes	2	4%
3.25	Developments typical of 16-20 homes	0	0%
3.26	Developments typical of more than 20 homes	0	0%
3.27	No additional home developments	8	15%

Your housing needs

Do you, or a close family member have, or contemplating having, a need for additional housing in the parish over the next five years?

3.3	YES	NO
3.31	10	45

If YES, what type of housing would be sought?

3.4	HOUSING NEED	No of dwellings	Ave. no. occupants	Ave. no. bedrooms	Year in which required	Dwelling type %
3.41	Affordable house to rent	3	3	2	Within 3 years	30%
3.42	Affordable housing to buy outright or via shared ownership	2	3	2	Within 3 years	20%
3.43	Private rented	1	3	3	Within 3 years	10%
3.44	Private ownership	4	2	3	Within 3 years	40%
3.45	Sheltered housing with resident care	0	0	0	-	-
3.46	Building plot for self build	0	0	0	-	-
3.47	Live and work accommodation	0	0	0	-	-

Other housing considerations

3.7	HOUSING contd.	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
3.71	Design should generally be in a traditional style	0	2	18	15	14
3.72	Design should generally be in a contemporary style	11	10	23	3	0
3.73	Houses should have off street parking or a garage	0	0	7	24	30
3.74	Maximum building height should be two storeys above ground	0	0	3	30	18
3.75	Design should respect heritage and conservation in materials selection	0	2	8	22	19
3.76	Private gardens are important considerations	0	0	14	19	17
3.77	Include green spaces around developments	0	4	5	25	16

Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
4%	37%	59%
45%	49%	6%
0%	11%	89%
0%	6%	94%
4%	16%	80%
0%	28%	72%
8%	10%	82%

4 PARISH ECONOMY

Employment

4.0	IN EMPLOYMENT	Full Time	Part Time
4.01	I am in employment (not at my home) in the parish	2	0
4.02	I am in employment outside the parish	3	3
4.03	I work from my home in the parish	10	5

% Full time	% part time
9%	0%
13%	13%
42%	23%

4.1	NOT IN EMPLOYMENT	Seeking work	Not seeking work	Retired
4.11	I am not in employment	0	3	28

Seeking work %	Not seeking work %	Retired %
0%	10%	90%

Education & training

4.2	EDUCATION OR TRAINING	Full Time	Part Time
4.21	I am receiving education or training	0	0

About employment opportunities

4.4	PARISH BUSINESS	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
4.41	Support agricultural business expansion and diversification	0	6	7	28	11	12%	13%	75%
4.42	Support marine business expansion and diversification	0	3	17	20	10	6%	34%	60%
4.43	Support growth in hospitality business (catering, accommodation etc.)	0	2	16	25	9	4%	31%	65%
4.44	Support growth in craft workshop-based business	0	2	9	25	14	4%	18%	78%
4.45	Support visitor services and facilities, e.g. for heritage visitors, walkers, boat users	0	2	16	24	10	4%	31%	65%

New parish businesses?

4.6	PARISH BUSINESS	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
4.61	Make provision for the development of factory and warehouse premises	20	19	10	3	0	75%	19%	6%
4.62	Make provision for more service industry premises	11	10	15	16	0	40%	29%	31%
4.63	Make provision for small workshop and craft business premises	1	0	9	35	7	2%	17%	81%

Issues to be addressed

4.8	PARISH ECONOMY	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
4.81	Better IT communication capacity	0	0	2	15	32
4.82	Improved A379 road infrastructure	0	5	13	15	17
4.83	Off-street car parking on premises	0	1	6	22	21
4.84	Adequate service road capacity for vehicular movement /manoeuvring	0	3	10	17	20

Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
0%	4%	96%
10%	26%	64%
2%	12%	86%
6%	20%	74%

5 TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

Public transport

5.0	USE OF TRANSPORT	Never	Occasionally	About once a Week	About once a month	More than once a week
5.01	Public buses	21	23	2	3	0
5.02	Community (Coleridge) bus	43	3	0	2	0
5.03	Taxis	23	23	1	1	0
5.04	Car sharing	26	14	4	1	4

% Never	% Occasional	% Regular
43%	47%	10%
90%	6%	4%
48%	48%	4%
53%	29%	18%

How many vehicles and bicycles does your household regularly use?

5.1	VEHICLES AND BICYCLES	None	1	2	3	4 or more
5.11	Car	0	18	33	1	0
5.12	Commercial van	51	0	0	0	1
5.13	Motorbike/ scooter/moped	51	1	0	0	0
5.14	Bicycle	36	4	8	1	3
5.15	Mobility vehicle	52	0	0	0	0
5.16	Camper van / caravan	48	4	0	0	0

None	1	2 or more
0%	35%	65%
98%	0%	2%
98%	2%	0%
69%	8%	23%
100%	0%	0%
92%	8%	0%

Roads

5.2	ROADS AND PARKING	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
5.21	A lower speed limit is needed on the A379 through Frogmore village	3	12	11	13	13
5.22	Physical traffic calming measures are needed on the A379	11	16	9	9	6
5.23	Off-street parking provision should be required for all new development	1	0	4	20	27
5.24	More car parking capacity is required in our village centres	3	4	16	23	5
5.25	A strategic highways review focused on reducing A379 traffic is needed	2	4	16	16	11

Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
29%	21%	50%
53%	18%	29%
2%	8%	90%
14%	31%	55%
12%	33%	55%

Pedestrians

5.4	PEDESTRIANS	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
5.41	I am satisfied that pedestrians are safe using our roads and available pavements	19	19	8	4	1
5.42	I would like improvements to the network of pavements / parish paths	1	2	10	20	18
5.43	I would support proposals for new 'green' (off road) footpaths linking villages	1	2	4	21	23
5.44	I would support proposals for a safe A379 pedestrian crossing near Frogmore bridge	1	4	9	23	14
5.45	I would support proposals for more access to the countryside footpaths	1	3	8	20	19
5.46	I would support proposals for improved Frogmore Creek public access	0	2	15	21	13

Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
74%	16%	10%
6%	20%	74%
6%	8%	86%
10%	18%	72%
8%	16%	76%
4%	29%	67%

Cyclists

5.6	CYCLE ROUTES	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
5.61	I am in favour of improving conditions to promote more cycling in the parish	2	8	12	15	14
5.62	I favour, in principle, segregated cycle ways covering main routes	5	10	7	20	9
5.63	I favour promoting parish wide recreational cycle ways	3	9	10	18	11

Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
20%	23%	57%
29%	14%	57%
23%	20%	57%

Bridle paths

95.8	BRIDLEWAYS	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
5.81	I am in favour of increasing the number of bridleways across the parish	3	3	9	25	13

Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
11%	17%	72%

6 RENEWABLE ENERGY

Renewable energy sources

6.0	RENEWABLE ENERGY	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
6.01	I am in favour of the installation of non-domestic solar panel farms in the parish	27	11	3	8	5
6.02	I am in favour of the installation of non-domestic wind turbines in the parish	31	6	6	6	5
6.03	I am in favour of the installation of anaerobic digesters for renewable energy	10	2	15	19	7

Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
70%	6%	24%
69%	11%	20%
23%	28%	49%

7 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (IT)

Communications

7.0	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
7.01	I have a good telephone landline service	6	5	9	27	7
7.02	I am able to receive a high capacity and high speed broadband service	28	17	5	4	0
7.03	I have a good mobile phone service signal coverage	39	11	2	2	0
7.04	I am able to access 3G services	36	6	8	2	0

Disagree %	Neither agree nor disagree %	Agree %
20%	17%	63%
84%	9%	7%
92%	4%	4%
81%	15%	4%

8 FINALLY

8.0	FINALLY	I agree	I disagree
8.01	'This completed questionnaire including my comments reflects my local concerns and covers the issues I would like taken into account in preparing the parish Neighbourhood Plan'.	46	1

Agree %	Disagree %
98%	2%

Optional, what is your gender?

8.2	Gender	Male	Female
8.21	I am:	27	27

Male %	Female %	Unspecified %
49%	49%	2%

Optional, what is your age group?

8.3	Age group	Under 17 years	17 - 25 years	26 - 35 years	36 - 45 years	46 - 55 years	56 - 70 years	71 - 85 years	86 years or over
8.31	I am in the age group:	0	0	0	8	7	23	15	0
%	% of all respondents	0%	0%	0%	15%	13%	43%	28%	0%

